

# RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT. Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

### Organization of **Presentation**

- 1. Status of IRIS Cancer Evaluation
- 2. Comments on a Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment



### **IRIS Cancer Evaluation**

- ➤ Formally announced and initiated in Feb 2006 Federal Register
- ➤ Planning Stages
- ➤ Proposed Phased Approach



### IRIS Cancer Evaluation Groundwork

- ➤ 2001, Asbestos Health Effects Conference, Oakland, CA
- 2003, Asbestos Mechanisms of Toxicity Workshop
- Collaboration with NIOSH for archived filter reanalysis
  - South Carolina Textile Plant (chrysotile)
  - NIOSH is publishing update
- Better characterization of historical asbestos exposure project
  - Comparison of exposures in key chrysotile and amphibole exposed cohorts
  - Project cancelled data unavailability



### **IRIS Cancer Evaluation**

#### **Purpose of the Phased Approach**

- Address key technical issues, early in the project
- Provide technical building blocks for the cancer assessment
- Provide flexibility in document development as new data become available



## **Proposed Phased Approach**

- Phase 1: Preparatory phase, laying the ground work
- > Phase 2: Quantitative work and document development
- > Finalization of document: Review and revision



#### Phase 1

- Scoping meeting (August 31, 2006)
  - Invited input from key individuals working on different asbestos issues across the Agency
- > Develop literature summaries and issue papers
  - Address key controversial issues
  - Provide technical building blocks for development of cancer assessment
- ➤ Investigate studies to better understand dose-response
  - Identify occupational cohorts for better exposure characterization
  - Identify data availability for nonoccupational cohorts



### **Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment**

- > Available epidemiologic data
- ▶ Data Gaps
- ➤ Possible objectives for animal studies



### **Libby Amphibole**

#### Available Epidemiologic Studies

- Libby Worker Mortality Studies
- The Morbidity and Mortality of vermiculite miners and millers to tremolite-actinolite, (Amandus and Wheeler, 1987)
- Cohort study of mortality of vermiculite miners exposed to tremolite (McDonald et al, 1986) (Updates in 2002 and 2004)
- Vermiculite, Respiratory Disease and asbestos exposure in Libby Montanna: Update of a cohort mortality study (P. Sullivan, 2007)

#### Vermiculite miners: Enoree, SC

Health of vermiculite minors exposed to trace amounts of vermiculite (McDonald et al, 1988)



### Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment

- ➤ Three analyses of the Libby worker cohort are available support lung cancer estimate, which provide consistent results
- Sullivan's paper indicates data may be available to support quantitative risk estimates for Mesothelioma



### Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment

- > Exposure estimates
  - PCM counts of personal filters
  - Data collected by WR Grace
  - Data collection forms and filters may be available to EPA
- >TEM surrogate metric is possible
  - Review of current and historical data indicate fiber size profile is fairly consistent
  - Convert historical PCM to TEM surrogate measure of material present



### Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment

- ➤ May be derived from human epidemiologic data for both lung cancer and mesothelioma
- Derivation could be based on the Libby amphibole
- ➤ EPA policy is to use human data where available and of appropriate quality



### Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment

Use of Libby cohort reduces technical and legal debates with respect to the Libby amphibole

- Fiber form
   (asbestiform, fiber, prismatic, cleavage fragment)
- Fiber mineralogy
- Influence of fiber dimension



### Libby Amphibole Toxicity Assessment Data Gaps

- Smoking status in Libby worker cohort
- Libby amphibole specific Mode of Action information
- Some uncertainty in exposure estimates
- > Shape of low dose response curve
- Susceptibility for early-lifetime exposure



### Animal Studies: Improve Derivation of an Inhalation Unit Risk

#### Mode of Action

- Demonstrate fiber toxicity in vitro/in vivo (e.g. plausibility)
  - · Similar biological activity as other forms of asbestos
  - · Relative toxicity to other forms of asbestos
- Examine role of various mechanisms to inform DR curve
  - ROS/RNS
  - · Direct clastogenicity



### **Information Which Could Inform Future Toxicity Assessments**

- Exposure dosimetric
  - Fiber concentration (current)
    - Surrogate measure of a subset of material
  - Lung burden residence time
  - Surface area
  - Relative fiber potency
- > Episodic versus cumulative exposure
  - Short-term high intensity, shorter latency?
  - Deposition / clearance modeling
  - Less-than lifetime risk



### Data gaps which may be informed by animal studies

- > Proof of the principle
  - (e.g. LA displays the same toxicity as other mineral fibers)
- ➤ Mode of action
  - Relative to other asbestos and mineral fibers
  - Can this inform low dose extrapolation
- > Early lifetime susceptibility
- > Episodic versus cumulative exposure
- > Explore dosimetrics



### **General Approach**

- > Tiered approach to studies
  - Relative dissolution in vitro
  - in vitro mechanisms (ROS, RNS etc.)
  - Short-term in vivo
  - Intermediate and chronic in vivo
- Use other forms of asbestos as controls
  - Tremolite (UICC)
  - Amosite
  - Chrysotile (?)
- Measured dose
  - Fiber count
  - Dimensional characteristics
  - Mass
  - Surface are (?)
- > Tissue dose (initial and over time)
- > Harmonize with noncancer studies

Caution regarding quantitative extrapolation from animal studies to human exposures